

Consistent Equation of Classical Gravitation to Quantum Limit and Beyond

Shantilal G. Goradia
 Physics Department University of Notre Dame
 Notre Dame, IN-46556 (USA)
 Email: Shantlal.Goradia.1@nd.edu

1 Introduction

General Relativity makes a distinction between mass and space. Mass tells space how to curve and space tells mass how to move. Newtonian gravity equation makes a distinction between them by having its numerator as mass effect and its denominator as inverse square law space effect at macroscopic approximation. At microscopic distances it makes sense to substitute surface-to-surface distance between two nucleons for center-to-center distance between them to account for the mass space distinction, keeping in mind the smallest distance between coupled nucleons is Planck length. Any distance less than Planck makes no sense in the classical world. When we calculate the force between two nucleons of one femtometer diameter each, separated by a surface-to-surface distance of Planck length, we get the force that matches well known nuclear force i.e. 10^{40} times the value of the force of gravitation “g” calculated by assuming the Newtonian center-to-center distance of 1 femtometer. What we get is what is described as the nuclear force in scientific literature. This leads to the question: Is the nuclear force (well recognized secondary effect of color force) high intensity gravitation?

2 Analysis

Consider the following equations (1) and (2). The notation d_n in Equation (2) is the diameter of the nucleons in question.

$$\textbf{Newtonian} \quad F_N = Gm_1xm_2/D^2 \quad (1)$$

$$\textbf{Proposed} \quad F_P = Gm_1xm_2/(D - d_n)^2 \quad (2)$$

The notation D in the denominator in Newton’s equation (1) of the gravitational force denotes the separating distance between the centers of mass of the particles in question. The validity of equation (1) has been verified for distances as low as a few centimeters. Its validity is not verified when the subatomic separating distance between nuclei of atoms is a few femtometers (**fm**). Newton’s equation is an approximation that explains macroscopic observations. If Newton meant his equation to hold true at microscopic distances, he would have explained the binding

energy. Newtonian physics implies point masses and action between points. A point has no mass. I am asserting that, instead, the classically deterministic Newtonian gravity originates at the surfaces of nucleons, not at a central point within the nucleons. I am not addressing electrons and coulomb forces in this paper. This paper is dedicated to the investigation of the nuclear force alone at this stage. The deviation from inverse square logic resulting from our proposal is insignificant. The consequences of mass and space microscopic distinction are enormous. The proposed correction is the injection of d_n . Equation (2) is good for all distances greater than Planck length (10^{-35} meters = 10^{-20} fm). I require the Planck length as a lower bound so as to include the dominant first order quantum effect in this classical model. The relative strength of the proposed equation is the ratio obtained by dividing equation (2) by equation (1), which is

$$\text{The ratio } F_P/F_N = D^2/(D - d_n)^2. \quad (3)$$

3 Strength of Gravity at Short Range per Eqn. (2)

When D is very large compared to d_n , D^2 is almost equal to $(D - d_n)^2$. The diameter of atoms is hundreds of millions of times greater than the diameter of nucleons located at the center of atoms. The force of gravitation calculated by these two equations between the nucleons of two adjoining atoms is practically the same, because the ratio $D^2/(D - d_n)^2$ is almost equal to one. When D is small compared to d_n , the force of attraction calculated by equation (2) will be significantly greater than that calculated by equation (1). The following results bring home the concept. If we call the force of gravitation calculated by equation (1) “g”, the force of gravitation calculated by equation (2) would be higher by the ratio $D^2/(D - d_n)^2$. When D exceeds d_n , by Planck length (10^{-20} fm), one obtains the ratio:

$$\begin{aligned} D^2/(D - d_n)^2 &= (d_n + \text{Planck length})^2/(\text{Planck length})^2 && (\text{All lengths in femtometers}) \\ &= (1 + 10^{-20})^2/(10^{-20})^2 && (d_n = 1 \text{ femtometer}) \\ &= 10^{40}. \end{aligned}$$

At surface to surface separations of 1, 2, 3, 4 and 10 femtometers, the calculated nuclear forces rapidly diminish to 4.0, 2.1, 1.77, 1.56 and 1.23 times the gravitational forces respectively and match Newtonian gravitation at 1000 femtometers as tabulated below. My calculations meet the observed boundary values. Nucleon deformation is neglected.

Separating Distance		Nuclear Force / Gravity
One	Planck length, 10^{-20} fm	10^{40}
1	Femtometer	4.0
2	Femtometers	2.1
3	Femtometers	1.77
4	Femtometers	1.56
5	Femtometers	1.44
6	Femtometers	1.36
7	Femtometers	1.31
8	Femtometers	1.26
9	Femtometers	1.23
10	Femtometers	1.21
15	Femtometers	1.15
20	Femtometers	1.11
25	Femtometers	1.09
50	Femtometers	1.04
100	Femtometers	1.02
1000	Femtometers	1.00

4 Analogy

Considering a hollow metal sphere containing smaller metal balls rumbling inside the sphere, a probe inside the sphere or close to the outside surface would detect non-central high intensity, indeterministic noise with intensity increasing with distance from the center. For a distant listener, the sound would be of deterministic nature originating from the center of the sphere with its intensity decreasing with distance. Indeterministic high intensity noise at a short range is deterministic low intensity sound at large distances. What this analogy brings home is that the color force is potentially the high intensity, non-central, classically indeterministic interaction. The gravity is potentially the low intensity, macroscopically central, Newtonian deterministic manifestation of the same fundamental interaction. I am taking the liberty to use the prevailing view that the nuclear force is the secondary effect of the color force to reach the following conclusion. This view does not need to be reestablished.

5 Conjectures

- (A) We do not have quantum gravity: gravity is potentially not a separate fundamental interaction of Nature.
- (B) Rutherford's scattering experiments showed nuclear forces as far as 10 femtometers [2]: not that they do not exist beyond that range. At higher distances they are too weak to detect.
- (C) There is no proof of a central force detected inside the nucleons.
- (D) Despite its theoretical justification, Yukawa potential does not predict the observations.
- (E) There is no feature of nuclear force that distinguishes it from gravitation.
- (F) Einstein attempted to explain nuclear force in terms of gravity [1].
- (G) The Standard Model does not incorporate gravity.

6 Conclusions

Newtonian gravitation is potentially a deterministic manifestation of the classically indeterminate color forces addressed in QCD. The prevailing view is that the nuclear force is the secondary effect of the color force. The proposed theory connects the nuclear force with gravitation in one common equation. The combined contributions of these two clearly imply that gravitation is the Newtonian deterministic manifestation of the classically indeterminate color forces.

7 Acknowledgements.

I am grateful to Professor Fridolin Weber (University of Notre Dame) for his comments following the presentation of the concepts.

References

- [1] The conceptual foundation of quantum field theory, edited by Tian Yu Cao of Boston University. (QC174.45.A1C646 1999) Page 85, “Does quantum field theory need a foundation?”
See discussion referring to Nobel Prize Winner Sheldon Glashow.
- [2] Introductory Nuclear Theory by L. R. B. Elton, D. Sc., F. Inst. P, Professor of Physics, Battersea College of Technology, Second Edition, 1966. Section 1.7, Nuclear Forces
- [3] <http://ar.Xiv.org/abs/math-ph/0009025> , By S. G. Goradia, 9/15/00